

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21048 of 2021

=====

Manoj Kumar @ Manoj Tanti, Son of Late Chandeshwar Tanti @ Chano Tanti, Resident of Village- Bardaha, Police Station- Ghailadh, District- Madhepura. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the Principal Secretary, Department of Excise, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Commissioner Department of Excise, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate cum Collector Saharsa.
4. The Excise Superintendent Saharsa.
5. The Incharge Revenue Officer Saharsa.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Satish Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vikash Kumar (Sc11)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted through Video Conferencing and the Advocates joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residence.)

Date : 01-04-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for following relief:-

I) To quash the order dated 28.08.2021, passed in
Confiscation Case No. 182/2018-19, passed by

Respondent Collector Saharsa, whereby and where under a direction has been issued to confiscate the Hero Splendor Pro Motorcycle bearing Registration No. BR-19F- 7042, registered in the name of the Petitioner, having Engine No. HA10E1D11L17123 and Chassis No. MBL11A10A3DHL06855.

- II) During pendency of this application operation of the order Impugned dated 28.08.2021, passed in Confiscation Case No. 182/2018-19, may kindly be stayed/kept in abeyance.
- III) Any other relief/reliefs as your lordships may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

Petitioner has approached this Court without exhausting the statutory remedy of appeal against the impugned order, as such, petitioner is granted liberty to avail the remedy of appeal against the confiscation order passed by the Confiscating Authority- cum- District Magistrate, before the Appellate Authority and if any such appeal is filed within 4 weeks then appellate authority shall condone the delay in filing the appeal and shall decide the appeal on its own merit preferably within 8 weeks from the date of its filing.

During pendency of appeal, the confiscated

vehicle/property shall not be auction sold, if not already auction sold.

OR

It is submitted on behalf of counsel for the State that during pendency of writ petition, there has been amendment in the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Rules, 2021 and a new Rule 12(A) has been inserted which reads as under:-

“12. A. Release of Vehicles, Conveyance etc. on payment of Penalty:- (1) If any vehicles, conveyance, vessel, animal etc. has been seized by any police or excise officer under the Act, then in terms of section -57B(1) of the Act, the Collector or an officer authorized by him upon receipt of an application in Form IV by the owner of the said conveyance or vehicle etc., may release the said conveyance or vehicle upon payment of such penalty as may be ordered by the Collector or the officer authorized by him.

Provided, where it is not possible to ascertain the owner of the vehicle or the owner is not coming to claim the vehicle, the Collector or the officer authorized by him, after waiting for 15 days from the date of seizure, shall proceed to confiscate and auction the vehicle as per the provisions of the Act.

(2) The penalty shall be 50% of the latest insured value of vehicle/conveyance. The insured value is the value of the vehicle as assessed by the insurance company. Where, the insured value is not available or the Collector or the officer authorized by him has reason to believe that the vehicle is undervalued, he shall get the valuation done by the District Transport Officer and 50% of that value shall be the amount of penalty.

In any case, the Collector shall not wait beyond 15 days from the date of seizure and if during this period, the accused/owner does not pay up the penalty, he shall proceed with the confiscation/auction.

(3) Notwithstanding above, if on a report by police officer or excise officer, the Collector or the officer authorized by him is satisfied that releasing the vehicle or conveyance shall not be in the public interest, he shall proceed ahead with the confiscation of the said vehicle or conveyance and its subsequent auction / disposal.

(4) Where the conveyance is such that its valuation / insurance is not possible, the Collector or the officer authorized by him shall impose such fine as he deems fit. While imposing such fine, the Collector or the officer authorized by him shall have due regard to the economic status of the individual, nature of his involvement in the crime and the quantum of intoxicant recovered.

(5) Such penalty shall be, regardless of the outcome of the trial if any, before the Special Court, non-refundable.

(6) The owner of the vehicle / conveyance shall, after the release of the vehicle / conveyance, produce the vehicle/ conveyance as and when required by the authorities.

[Explanation:- In all pending / ongoing cases of confiscation/auction of vehicles, the Collector or the officer authorized by him may give an opportunity to the existing owner to pay the aforesaid penalty and get the vehicle released. Upon satisfaction about ownership and upon payment of such penalty, the ongoing confiscation/auction proceeding may be dropped and the vehicle released.]”

In said view of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to petitioner to avail the remedy of the amended

provision 12(A) of the Bihar Prohibition & Excise (Amendment) Rules, 2022. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion with respect to merit of case.

Equally, liberty reserved to petitioner to approach this Court for same and subsequent cause of action, if so arises.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Sanjay/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	15.04.2022
Transmission Date	NA