

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20963 of 2021

-
-
1. Raushan Kumar Son of Trishuldhari Singh Resident of Narsinghpur, P.S.-Jairampur, Narsinghpur, District-Sheikhpura.
 2. Kumkum Bharti Wife of Shambhu Kumar Yadav @ Shambhu Yadav Resident of Station Road Inday, P.S.-Sheikhpura, District Sheikhpura,
 3. Raj Kishor Kumar Son of Late Banke Paswan, Resident of Koyribigha, P.s.-Barbigha, District-Sheikhpura.
 4. Vipin Chaudhary Son of Late Lakhan Chaudhary, Resident of Mohalla-Naryanpur, P.S.-Barbigha, District-Sheikhpura.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Municipality Administration Directorate, Urban development and Housing Department, Government of Bihar through its Director, Patna.
4. The Secretary, State Election Commission, Sone Bhawan, Veer Chand Patel Marg, Patna.
5. The Joint Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. That District Magistrate, District-Sheikhpura.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr.Rajeev Ranjan
For the Respondent State:		Mr.Subhash Pd. Singh (Ga3)
For the S.E.C.	:	Mr.Girish Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH)

4 08-03-2022 The petitioners have put to challenge a notification No. 3593 dated 13.12.2021 issued by the Urban Development and Housing Department, Government of Bihar, whereby, in exercise of the powers conferred under Sections 3(1)(a), 4, 5 6



and 8 of the Bihar Municipal Act, 2007, area of various Nagar Parishads including Barbigaha and Sheikhpura have been expanded.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, after having argued the matter at length, has fairly conceded that the legal issues, which are being raised in the present writ application to challenge the impugned order, is squarely covered by a Division Bench decision of this Court rendered on 24.02.2022 in C.W.J.C. No. 21180 of 2021 (***Rani Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar and Others***).

Considering the aforesaid submission and the law laid down in case of ***Rani Kumari*** (supra), this application stands dismissed in same terms. The impugned order does not require any interference.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

