

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8758 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1759 Year-2015 Thana- SAMASTIPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Samastipur

=====

VIJAY SHUKLA Son of Late Sarjug Sukla Resident of Village - Koari Chowk, P.S.- Gangabridge, District - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Arti Devi Wife of Manikant Shukla @ Bablu Shukla Resident of Village - Koari Chowk, P.S.- Gangabridge, District - Vaishali, Daughter of Mangal Chaudhary, Resident of Village - Jorpura, P.S.- Tajpur, District - Samastipur, At present W/o Santosh Mishra, R/o Village - Bahadurpur, P.S.- Patory, District - Samastipur.

... .. Opposite Parties.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bijay Bhushan Prasad, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rana Randhir Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 04-03-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State through virtual mode.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, within a period of four weeks.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case registered under Sections 323 and 498A of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is of committing torture upon the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the



petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case due to petty family dispute. The petitioner is father-in-law of the victim. All the offences are triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the Complaint Case/F.I.R.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Samastipur in connection with C.R. No. 1759 of 2015, T.R. No. 1839 of 2018 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The petitioner is directed to co-operate during the trial. If the petitioner does not co-operate during the trial, the court



below will be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

A.K.V.//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

