

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20698 of 2021

=====

M/s DB Corp Limited a company registered under Companies Act having its office at Near Pani ki Tanki Chowk, Club Road, Narayanpura Marg, Mithanpura, District- Muzaffarpur, through its authorized representative- Mukesh Kumar, (Male) Aged about 42 Years, S/o Sri Motilal Agarwal, R/o House No. 32, Dhamdaha North, Dhamdaha Chowk, Dhamdaha, P.S. and District- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Industry, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Commissioner-cum- Secretary, Department of State Taxes, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Director, Industries, Department of Industry, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Director (Technical Development) Department of Industry, Government of Bihar.
6. The General Manager, District Industries Centre, Muzaffarpur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Abhishek Kumar, Advocate Mr. Brisketu Sharan Pandey, Advocate Mr. Madan Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Subash Prasad Singh (GA 3)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the Chief Justice/ Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also, the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)

2 10-02-2022 Petitioner has prayed for following relief (s) :-

“(i) For issuing a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ directing the Respondent



Authorities to implement the Bihar Industrial Incentive Policy, 2011 and thereby pay the entitlement of the Petitioner, i.e., total outstanding amount of Rs. 99.9 Lacs to the petitioner under the head of Subsidy on investment in Plants and Machinery, Subsidy on investment on Plant & Machinery purchased for Captive Power Generation/DG set, Re-imbursement for Electricity Duty Paid.

ii. For issuing a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ directing the Respondent Authorities to bring on record order(s) or letter(s) through which the claims of the petitioner for subsidy on investment in Plants and Machinery, Subsidy on investment on Plant & Machinery purchased for Captive Power Generation/DG set, Re-imbursement for Electricity Duty Paid, has been denied.

iii. For issuing a writ of certiorari or any other appropriate writ quashing such order(s) or letter(s) so produced through which the claims of the petitioner for subsidy on investment in Plants and Machinery, Subsidy on investment on Plant & Machinery purchased for Captive Power Generation/DG set, Re-imbursement for Electricity Duty Paid, has been denied.

iv. For issuing appropriate writ declaring that the payments to the Petitioner as per entitlement for post-production incentives such as Reimbursement for GST paid, VAT paid/Electricity Duty paid, AMG/MMG paid cannot be kept pending or denied and has to be timely paid to Petitioner.

v. For holding that Respondents erred in not releasing the subsidy/reimbursement on the ground that the proposal of the 'Competent Authority' given the fact that the proposal already has approval from SIPB and the law has been settled in this regard by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this High Court and has been confirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court that once the proposal has been approved by the SIPB, no other approval is



required.

vi. For holding that the Respondents cannot withhold or discontinue to subsidize and or reimbursement the entitlements accorded to the Petitioner under the Bihar Industrial incentive Policy, 2011.

vii. For holding that once the proposal of the investment has been accepted and petitioner is declared entitled under the Policy then the Respondents cannot interfere with the disbursement of the reimbursement/subsidy amount to the Petitioner.

viii. For holding that the Respondents erred by not releasing full Reimbursements and subsidies amount given the fact that they hold no authority to refuse/ stop/interfere, once proposal of investment has been accepted by the State investment Promotion Board (SIPB).

ix. For holding that it is the duty of the Respondents to timely release the payments for reimbursement under the head of VAT/GST every time the petitioner submits an application for the same and the petitioner need not go through the unnecessary technicalities and procedures again.

x. For holding that the Respondents cannot make the Petitioner run from pillar to post for reimbursement/subsidy once it is found entitled.

xi. For any reliefs, direction/ directions for which the petitioner is entitled may be given.”

Shri Abhishek Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, states that certain amount in terms of the Bihar Industrial Incentive Policy, 2011 already stands paid to the petitioner. As such, petitioner shall be content if the petition is disposed of with liberty granted to the petitioner to approach the



authority concerned by filing a representation within a period of four weeks, with a direction to the authority concerned to consider and decide the same within a period of four weeks from the date of its presentation.

Prayer allowed.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the claim, petition is disposed of with the liberty aforesaid. All issues on facts and law are left open.

Needless to say that while considering such request, principles of natural justice shall be followed and due opportunity of hearing afforded to the parties.

If aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner shall have liberty to approach this Court by way of separate petition(s), if so required and desired.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.



Interlocutory application, if any, shall also stand
disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

K.C.Jha/chn

U			
---	--	--	--

