

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70632 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-782 Year-2021 Thana- FORBESGANJ District- Araria

1. MD. LUKMAN Son of Md. Urfan Resident of village - Ward No.- 12, Rampur North, P.S.- Forbisganj, District - Araria.
2. Md. Ezaz Son of Md. Urfan Resident of village - Ward No.- 12, Rampur North, P.S.- Forbisganj, District - Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rabindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 01-08-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Forbesganj P.S. Case No. 782 of 2021 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 324, 307 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that the petitioners stabbed his brother causing injury in his stomach on account of a dispute relating to duplicate medicine and drugs which the informant was not supporting.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the



petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that brother of the informant fell on the table which had sharp edge and got injured but the petitioners came to be falsely implicated as there was a dispute relating to medicine business. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation it appears that informant was not supporting the duplicate medicine business of the petitioners but then the informant was not hurt and it was his brother who is alleged to have been assaulted, this further demonstrates that the petitioners have been falsely implicated.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners and submits that there is a direct allegation of stabbing and the injuries suffered is grievous in nature and is on vital part of the body.

Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P. for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Their prayer for anticipatory bail is thus rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

