

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70340 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-670 Year-2019 Thana- MUFFASIL District- West Champaran

SANGITA DEVI @ PUJA W/o Ashiph Husain, D/o Late Bhola Sah R/o Mainatand, P.S.- Shikarpur, District- West Champaran, at present R/o Bhasurari, Ward No. 17, P.O. - Rajpur, Madan, P.S.- Shikarpur, District- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Bhushan, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-04-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P.
for the State.

Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within a period of
four weeks after complete start of the physical Court.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 302, 201 and 120B/34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is in custody since 20.08.2021, charge-sheet has been
submitted, is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman.

The informant alleges that while she was returning home
after work then she was intercepted by Sangeeta Devi (petitioner),
Pratima Devi, Afsana Khatoon and a rickshawala who assaulted her.
Further, the informant disclosed the occurrence to her son Kanhaiya
Kumar. Thereafter, the son and nephew Lal Babu of the informant



were in Bettiah so the informant thought that they had gone to inquire about the occurrence from the accused. Further, the informant alleges that her son and nephew were killed by the accused persons in connivance with other.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that the informant is not an eyewitness to the occurrence and the entire allegation is based on suspicion and the FIR even does not disclose any motive for the occurrence.

Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 20.08.2021, charge-sheet has been submitted, is a person with clean antecedent, is a woman and the entire allegation hinges around suspicion and the informant is not an eyewitness to the occurrence, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Bettiah Mufassil P.S. Case No. 670 of 2019.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

