

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70376 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-479 Year-2021 Thana- KUDHNI District- Muzaffarpur

Ravi Raj @ Ravi Ranjan S/o Late Horil Rai R/o village- Banker 039 Colony
Kanhauri, P.S.- Mithanpura, Distt.- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Santosh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mohammad Sufyan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 24-05-2022 Heard Mr. Santosh Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP for the State.

The petitioner is in custody in connection with
Kudhani P.S. Case No. 479 of 2021 registered under sections
341, 420, 406, 120(B), 387, 323, 506, 504, 34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

As per the FIR, on the basis of written complaint of
the informant Dileep Kumar Gupta, it has been alleged that
accused Vijay Kumar, Chandan Kumar and Ravi Raj (the
petitioner herein) took Rs. 5 lakh each from him totaling Rs. 15
lakh on the pretext of registering a land. However, the informant
subsequently alleged that the petitioner and other two accused
persons chose not to register the land. When he requested for the



recovery the money, it has been alleged that they threatened him of dire consequences. Left with no remedy, the Kudhani P.S. Case No. 479 of 2021 was lodged against them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his name is Ravi Ranjan Rai and not Ravi Raj against whom allegation has been made by the informant. He further submits that there is no eye-witness to the said transaction and further submitted that the petitioner is of unsound mind.

The learned APP has brought this Court's attention to Annexure-2, the alleged transaction of Rs. 5 lakh on 1000/- Non-Judicial Stamp in which the petitioner has confirmed taking of Rs. 5 lakh from the complainant/informant herein. He further submits that the allegation in the FIR against the petitioner is clear that he chose to dupe the informant of Rs. 5 lakh inasmuch as after receiving the amount he not only chose not to get the land registered he even threatened him of dire consequences when the informant requested to return the money. He lastly submits that a bare perusal of para-3 of the bail application shows that the petitioner has criminal antecedent.

Taking into account the aforesaid facts that there is written document to show the alleged transaction, the petitioner further threatened the informant of dire consequences when he



made request returning of money as also the fact that he has criminal antecedent; this Court is not inclined to grant any relief to him and the bail application is hereby rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

