

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60829 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-432 Year-2021 Thana- TURKAULIYA District- East
Champaran

=====

TUNNA ALAM @ TUNNA MIAN S/o Karim Miyan R/V- Ward no. 07
Amawa, P.S.- Turkauliya, Distt- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyameshwar Dayal, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-11-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within a period
of four weeks.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 327, 307 and
120(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

This is the second attempt of the petitioner to seek
bail as earlier his bail application was rejected by order dated
30.03.2022 in Cr. Misc. No. 63893 of 2021.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when
this bail application was filed by that time the charges against
the petitioner were not framed. Subsequent to filing of the
present application, the charges against the petitioner were



framed on 15.10.2022, when this petition was filed on 10.10.2022.

Since the charges against the petitioner have been framed, as such, now the trial will commence. In the event, if the trial of the case is not completed within a period of eight months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail before the learned trial court and in the event, if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that for no fault of the petitioner the trial could not be completed within a period of eight months, the petitioner shall be released on bail.

Hence, prayer for bail is rejected with the liberty as aforesaid.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

