

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20734 of 2021

=====

Kishore Kumar Chaudhary, S/o Late Bijaykant Chaudhary, R/o Mohalla-Naya
Tola, Bhikhanpur, Firangi Roy Lane, Police Station-Kotwali, District-
Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector/District Magistrate, Gaya.
4. The Block Development Officer, Nimchak, Bathani, Gaya.
5. The District Certificate Officer, Gaya.
6. The Senior Accounts Officer, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs.Mahasweta Chatterjee, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Rastogi, AAG-10
Mr. Binod Kumar Labh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 24-02-2022 Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):

“(i) That an appropriate writ may be
issued quashing the whole proceeding of
the Certificate Case No. 01/2021-22
Audit.

(ii) That an appropriate writ may be
issued quashing the Letter No. 1086
dated 02/11/21 issued by the Block
Development Officer as contained in



Annexure-13 by which he has sanctioned to proceed with the Certificate Case against the petitioner.

(iii) That an appropriate writ may be issued commanding upon the respondent no. 5 the District Certificate Officer Gaya not to proceed with the Certificate Case No. 01/2021-22 against the petitioner during the pendency of this instant writ application.

(iv) Any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is found entitled to.”

After the matter was heard for some time, finding the Court not in favour of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, states that the petitioner shall be content if the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition, with liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings before the appropriate forum and to take recourse to such other alternate remedies which are equally efficacious in law, including the provisions of the Public Demand Recovery Act, 1914.

Prayer allowed.

The petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

In the meanwhile, no coercive action shall be taken



against the petitioner.

Interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also stand
disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

K.C.Jha/DKS

U			
---	--	--	--

