

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54335 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2198 Year-2017 Thana- SIWAN COMPLAINT CASE District-Siwan

=====

ASLAM ANSARI Son of Mannan Ansari Resident of Village-Sadpur, P.O.-Jamanpura, Police Station-Daudpur, District-Saran (Chapra) at present residing at Madhu Vihar, P.O. and Police Station-Uttam Nagar (New Delhi).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Baby Praveen Khatoon Wife of Aslam Ansari, Daughter of Imteyaz Ali Resident of Madhu Vihar, P.O. and Police Station-Uttam Nagar (New Delhi), at present resident of village and P.O.-Gambhirar, Police Station-Raghunathpur, District-Siwan.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Ajay Kumar Tiwary
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mrs.Pushpa Sinha
	Mr.Gajendra Kumar Singh
	Mr.Rajiv Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

7 21-02-2022 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered under sections 498(A), 323, 406, 307 of the IPC and sections 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Petitioner, who is husband of the informant, is said to have committed torture upon the informant in association of his family members on account of non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and thereafter ousted her out of her matrimonial home.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is an innocent person and has committed no offence.



Petitioner has never made any dowry demand and has been falsely implicated in the present case due to grudge. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner and he is ready and willing to keep his wife with full honour and dignity. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of ***Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006 (3) PLJR 182.***

In that view of the matter, let the petitioner, named above, in the event of his arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below, where the case is pending/Successor court, in connection with Complaint Case No.2198 of 2017 (Trial No.3731 of 2018), subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

Petitioner is ready to pay Rs.5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) per month to opposite party no.2 in the second week of every month for a period of one year, for her maintenance, as per the bank account details furnished by her in the learned Court below.



It is made clear that if the petitioner fails to pay the aforesaid amount on two consecutive months, opposite party no.2 shall be at liberty to move before the learned Court below for cancellation of the bail bond of the petitioner.

It goes without saying that the aforesaid payment shall be subject to any order passed in matrimonial maintenance case or any other collateral proceedings.

If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

Accordingly, this application stands disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

pallavi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

