

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68915 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-226 Year-2020 Thana- CHHATAPUR District- Supaul

PARIMAL PYARE Son of Upendra Das Resident of Village - Kamat
Kishanganj, P.S.- Chhatepur, District - Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjay Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar No. 2, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-04-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 447, 341, 323, 324, 326, 307, 354B, 379, 380, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 28.07.2021, is a person with clean antecedent and charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the informant alleges that while his son had gone for running, he was intercepted by the petitioner and named accused persons who assaulted him, but his son managed to flee and came back home. Thereafter, it is alleged that the petitioner along with



named accused persons came to the informant's house and Rajeev Kumar Das assaulted on head and eye of the informant by butt of a gun and Rajnish Kumar assaulted informant's son by *farsa* on head and other accused persons, including the petitioner, started misbehaving with his wife and daughter and also snatched golden chain and stole Rs. 45,000/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and from bare perusal of the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. it would manifest that Rajeev Kumar Das and Rajnish Kumar are alleged to have assaulted the informant and his son respectively and as far as this petitioner is concerned, it is alleged that he misbehaved with the wife and daughter of the informant. Learned counsel submits that it is an exaggerated version of the occurrence only to give a serious colour to the case inasmuch as that ladies of the house were misbehaved by the petitioner but the F.I.R. is silent in that respect as to how the petitioner misbehaved with the victims.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 28.07.2021, is a person with clean antecedent and charge-



sheet has been submitted in the case, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Chhatapur P.S. Case No. 226 of 2020.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

