

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57283 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-78 Year-2022 Thana- KACCHWA District- Rohtas

=====

UMESH SINGH SON OF LATE RAMASHISH SINGH R/O VILLAGE-
SAWARI, P.S.- KACHHWAN, DISTT.- ROHTAS

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sangeeta Sharma

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2022 Heard Ld. counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with

Kachhwan P.S. Case No. 78 of 2022, registered for the

offences punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition

and Excise Act, 2018.

As per allegation, 17 liters of country-made Mahua

liquor was recovered from a white plastic sack.

The Ld. counsel for the petitioner submits that the

petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this

case. He further submits that there is no recovery from the

conscious possession of the petitioner and the search and



seizure has been made in utter violation of Section 100 Cr. PC.

The petitioner has been languishing in jail since 25.07.2022.

It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the petition that the petitioner has not moved earlier before this Court for grant of anticipatory bail or regular bail.

It has further been stated in paragraph no. 3 that the petitioner has made accused in one another case.

However, the Ld. APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. Sepcial Judge Excise-I, Rohtas at Sasaram in connection with Kachhwan P.S. Case No. 78 of 2022 on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner will make himself available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.



(ii) The petitioner will undertake that investigation/trial will not hamper on account of his absence or non-cooperation. He must be available to the police or the court whenever his presence is required.

(iii) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedent, the learned court below shall cancel the bail bond of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent despite his knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, the learned court below shall cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

The application stands allowed accordingly.



The Ld. counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove all the defects, if any, pointed out by the office within a period of one month and the Registry is directed to issue the certified copy of this order only after removal of office objections.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

ramesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

