

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60183 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-285 Year-2022 Thana- GAYA RAIL P.S. District- Gaya

PINTU CHAUDHARY SON OF LATE RAJENDRA CHAUDHARY R/O
VILLAGE- PAHADPUR, P.S.- FATEHPUR, DISTT.-GAYA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinod Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Atul Chandra

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 07-12-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Rail
Gaya P.S. Case No. 285 of 2022, registered for the offences
punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and
Excise Act, 2018.

As per allegation, 118.495 litres of liquor was
recovered from 6 bags kept in train, namely, Asansole –
Baranasasi Passenger Train, Coach No. ER 198302.

The Ld. counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this
case. He further submits that nothing has been recovered



from the conscious possession of the petitioner.

The petitioner has been languishing in jail since 12.07.2022.

It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the petition that the petitioner has never moved before this Court for grant of anticipatory bail or regular bail.

It has further been stated in paragraph no. 3 that the petitioner has earlier been made accused in one more case.

However, the learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. Exclusive Special Excise Judge, Court No. 2, Gaya in connection with Rail Gaya, P.S. Case No. 285 of 2022 on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner will make himself available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.



(ii) The petitioner will undertake that investigation/trial will not hamper on account of his absence or non-cooperation. He must be available to the police or the court whenever his presence is required.

(iii) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has criminal antecedent other than the disclosed one, the learned court below shall cancel the bail bond of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent despite his knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, the learned court below shall cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

The application stands allowed accordingly.



The learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove all the defects, if any, pointed out by the office within a period of one month and the Registry is directed to issue the certified copy of this order only after removal of office objections.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

uttam/-

U			
---	--	--	--

