

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67726 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-91 Year-2021 Thana- JOKIHAT District- Araria

=====

Bablu Kumar Mandal @ Bablu Mandal, Son Of Anandi Mandal Resident Of
Village - Thengapur, P.S.- Jokihat, Distt.- Araria.

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramesh Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nitya Nand Tiwary

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-04-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 457, 380 of the Indian Penal
Code.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is in custody since 18.03.2021, charge-sheet has been
submitted in this case and has antecedent of one case.

The learned counsel for the petitioner further submits
that the informant alleges that on 01.03.2021, he along with his
family members had gone to his sister's house leaving the
responsibility of the house on staff namely, Ajay Yadav. Later
on, the informant was informed about the theft committed in his
house. Accordingly, when the informant came back and found



Rs.5 Lacs as well as jewellery along with other articles as detailed in the F.I.R. missing. Thus, alleges that the theft was committed by Ajay Yadav and the petitioner.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that petitioner was earlier working with the informant in his house, but later, he had left his job. It is also submitted that from perusal of the allegation, it would manifest that the responsibility of the house was on Ajay Yadav and even the theft article were recovered from the house of the in-laws of the family. The learned counsel submits that as far as recovery of article from the house of this petitioner is concerned, the said article belongs to the petitioner and are common household articles and jewellery. The learned counsel further submits that it absolutely does not stand to reason that as to how the informant named the petitioner in the occurrence when his name was disclosed by Ajay Yadav.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the bail application.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody, charge-sheet has been submitted in this case and in the nature of allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. and taking into consideration the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner,



the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Jokihat P. S. Case No.91 of 2021.

The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

