

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15193 of 2022

Ashok Kumar Son of Lalan Baitha, Resident of Mohallah-Adarsh Nagar Colony, Rambagh Road, P.O.-Ramana, District-Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Managing Director, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Registered Office -Indian Oil Bhawan, G-9, All Yavar Jung Marg, Bandra (East), Mumbai-40051.
2. The Union of India through Secretary, Ministry of Petroleum, Shastri Bhawan, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Road, New Delhi-110001.
3. The Chief Divisional Retail Sales Manager, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., Muzaffarpur.
4. The Head of Divisional Office, Muzaffarpur Divisional Office, Krishna Complex, Akharaghat Road, Muzaffarpur-842001.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Ranjan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Dr. K.N.Singh, ASG

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the Chief Justice/Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)

Date : 11-11-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for following relief(s) :-

“I. For setting aside Letter No. 15456323094186 dated 01.09.2022 issued by the Respondent No. 4 whereby and whereunder the application of the petitioner for awarding RO dealership at Pipra, Piparia Canal Chowk, Towards Gaonaha on Narkatiyaganj-



Gaonaha Road, West Block Gaonaha, District-Champaran West applied under category SC vide advertisement dated 25.11.2018, whereby and whereunder the petitioner's application for RO Dealership has been refused vide paragraph 3 of the letter referring candidature has not been found eligible for the allotment for RO Dealership with further clause to represent against this order if any within ten days from the receipt of this letter.

II. For the issuance of direction to the Respondents to reconsider the representation of the petitioner filed within the stipulated time on the ground of clause not being found eligible.

III. For the issuance of direction to the Respondent authorities to proceed with the process of opening the RO outlet under the authority and control of IOC for which the petitioner applied for under category SC vide application No. No.15456323094186 dated 24.12.2018.

IV. To restrain the Respondent NO not to proceed for a publication a fresh notification for opening RO dealership of IOC under the area identified and applied for the petitioner in SC category till the disposal of the writ application.

V. Or to pass any other order/orders, direction/directions found applicable in in the factual background of the case.”

It is not in dispute that in terms of



communication dated 01.09.2022 (Annexure-6 Page 31), petitioner has approached respondent No. 3 and no decision stands taken on his request.

Shri Ankit Katriar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the said respondent, states that within two months from today, decision in accordance with law shall be taken on the petitioner's request.

As such, petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms reserving liberty to the petitioner to agitate the grievances, if any, before the appropriate forum, should the need so arise.

Interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

chn/sujit

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	14.11.2022
Transmission Date	

