

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57355 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-289 Year-2021 Thana- MOTIPUR District- Muzaffarpur

RAKESH KUMAR @ RUKESH PRASAD @ RAKESH PRASAD Son of
Main Bhagat @ Mayan Bhagat R/V- Rasulganj, P.S- Motipur, Dist-
Muzaffarpur (bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nitya Nand Tiwary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State in virtual court
proceeding.

Let the defects, as pointed out by the office, be
removed within a period of four weeks from today.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a), 41(i)
(ii) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018.

Recovery is of total 6 liters of illicit beer and 5.400
liters of illicit liquor.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the
present case. He further submits that the recovery has been



made from motorcycle in question and not from petitioner's possession. Therefore, the recovery cannot be attributed to the petitioner. He further submits that the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused namely Raushan Kumar, except confessional statement of co-accused nothing has come during investigation against the petitioner. He further submits that petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of liquor. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable and he further submits that petitioner carries two criminal antecedents other than the present one.

This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case or **Ram Vinay Yadav Vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of



anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused namely Raushan Kumar, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the order, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Motipur P.S. Case No. 289 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. and also the following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the



evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

mdrashid/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

