

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15023 of 2022

=====

Chanda Devi, Wife of King Kumar, Resident of House No. 69, Nirpur, P.S. +
District East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Good and Consumer Protection Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Managing Director, Bihar State Food and Civil Supply Corporation Ltd., Khadya Bhawan, R. Block, Patna.
3. The District Manager, State Food Corporation, Motihari.
4. The District Certificate Officer, East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Deepak Sahay Jamuar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Arvind Ujjwal (SC 4)
For the BSFC	:	Mr. Shailendra Kr. Singh, Advocates
		Mr. Utkarsh Utpal, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the Chief Justice/Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)

Date : 11-11-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for following relief(s) :-

“For issuance of writ/writs for direction to respondent No.4 to proper consideration the petitioner's objection petition under section 10 of PDR Act and further direction to respondent No. 3 to produce all relevant documents regarding the handover of paddy to petitioner from paddy procurement year 2011-12



and further pray for any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is entitled for.”

It is not in dispute that petition under Section 9 of the Bihar & Orissa Public Demands Recovery Act, 1914 (hereinafter referred to as “the Act”) is pending consideration/petitioner intends to file before the appropriate authority.

Learned counsel for the parties jointly pray that the instant petition be disposed of with direction to the appropriate authority to consider and decide the same expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the State states that the appropriate authority shall consider and decide the petition filed/ to be filed by the petitioner under Section 9 of the Act, positively within a period of two months from the date of appearance of the petitioner before him along with a copy of this order and the issue of limitation shall not come in the way of decision on merits.

Statement accepted and taken on record.

As such, petition stands disposed of in the following terms:-

(a) Petitioner shall appear in the office of the appropriate authority on 30.11.2022 along with a copy of this



order, on which date documents in support of the petition shall be filed, or else file a fresh petition under Section 9 of the Act.

(b) The appropriate authority shall consider and dispose of the petitioner's petition expeditiously, by a reasoned and speaking order, preferably within a period of two months from the date of appearance of the petitioner before him and till then no coercive steps be taken against the petitioner;

(c) The authority shall also examine as to whether the amount in question falls within the definition of public demand or not;

(d) Needless to add, while considering such petition, principles of natural justice shall be followed and due opportunity of hearing afforded to the parties;

(e) Order assigning reasons shall be supplied to the parties;

(f) Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law;

(g) We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch;



(h) Liberty reserved to the petitioner to challenge the order passed by the appropriate authority, before the appropriate forum, if so required and desired.

(i) We have not expressed any opinion on merits. All issues are left open;

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Interlocutory Application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

chn/sujit

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	14.11.2022
Transmission Date	

