

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66707 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-97 Year-2017 Thana- LAUKAHI District- Madhubani

Md. Izrail @ Md. Izaroyal @ Ajjo, S/O Late Birkha Mian @ Birkha Miya @
Virkha Miya, R/o village- Majhari, P.S.- Nirmali, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr.Ratanakar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party : Mr.Ram Priya Sharan Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER**

4 09-02-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Ram
Priya Saran Singh, learned A.P.P. for the State.

This is the second attempt of the petitioner to obtain
bail in connection with Laukahi P.S. Case No. 97 of 2017
corresponding to G.R. No. 829/2017 registered for the offence
under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

Earlier his prayer for bail was rejected vide order
dated 21.10.2020 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 27328/2020. This
Court has noticed the huge criminal antecedents of 22 cases
against the petitioner and further that he was absconding in most
of the cases.

Considering the submission of learned A.P.P. for the
State that release of the petitioner would not allow the cases
pending against him to proceed as he was still being remanded



in several cases in which he was an accused, this court while rejecting the prayer for bail of the petitioner directed the Superintendent of Police, Madhubani to take appropriate steps to ensure that prosecution cooperates with the trial in all the cases pending against the petitioner.

This court has called for a report in the present case. Report has been received. Further the Superintendent of Police, Madhubani has also submitted his report. He has informed this court that out of 22, cases 16 cases have been verified and rest of the cases are to be still verified from the police stations falling within the district of Supaul and Madhubani.

Considering that there is no change of circumstance and the petitioner has got huge criminal antecedents and further that petitioner was absconding in several cases for about nine years, this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

Prayer for bail of the petitioner is, thus, rejected.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J.)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'

