

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67154 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-90 Year-2021 Thana- MUSRIGHRARI District- Samastipur

RAVI KUMAR LATE ASHOK KUMAR VILLAGE CHIRIYA MATIYAL,
P.S- NANDAUN, DIST- HAMIRPUR, STATE- HIMACHAL PRADESH

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mahendra Pratap, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar Pandey, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

3 25-04-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
the offence under Sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition
and Excise Act.

Recovery is of 3058.92 liters of foreign liquor.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner, who is of clean antecedent, is
innocent and has not committed any offence. He further
submits that nothing has been recovered from the conscious
possession of the petitioner rather the alleged recovery has
been made from truck of which the petitioner is said to be
the driver but the petitioner has no knowledge about the



alleged liquor. He also does not have any concern with the alleged recovery of liquor. He further submits that the police after investigation has submitted charge-sheet in this case against the petitioner. The petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 21.07.2021.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the, above named, petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Musrigharari P.S. Case No. 90 of 2021 (P.T. No. 108 of 2021) with the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to



move for cancellation of bail.

And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

