

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66209 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-534 Year-2020 Thana- SITAMARHI COMPLAINT CASE  
District- Sitamarhi

=====

Surendra Pandit Son of Mahesh Pandit Resident of Village - Bajpatti  
Barwatola Madhuban, P.S.- Bajpatti, District - Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sangeeta Devi Wife of Surendra Pandit D/o - Bimlesh Pandit at present  
residing at village - Madhopur, P.S.- Bathnaha, District - Sitamarhi

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Uday Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Bharat Lal

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH  
ORAL ORDER**

2      24-05-2022                      Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner, husband of Opposite Party No. 2 apprehends his arrest in Complaint Case No. C1-534 of 2020 registered for the offence under Section 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is ready to give maintenance amount of Rs. 5,000/- (five thousand) per month, starting from this month to Opposite Party No. 2.

In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is ready to give maintenance amount of Rs. 5,000/- (five thousand) per month, in the event of



arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, let the petitioner above-named be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sitamarhi in connection with Complaint Case No. C1-534 of 2020 on the following conditions:

(1.) Opposite Party No. 2 would file an affidavit before the court below and bring on record her saving bank account number for its communication to the petitioner.

(2.) Petitioner would deposit the aforesaid maintenance amount per month in the saving bank account of the Opposite Party No. 2.

(3.) In case, the petitioner fails to deposit the maintenance amount for two consecutive months, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail bond.

(4.) Petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present, as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(5.) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or



the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(6.) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or connected proceedings.

The present order, in no way, will preclude the parties to resolve the issue otherwise.

**(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)**

vinita/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

