

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58862 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-46 Year-2022 Thana- BIKRAM District- Patna

=====

RAMDEV SINGH SON OF LATE JATAN YADAV R/O VILLAGE-
NAGHAR, P.S.- BIKRAM, DISTRICT- PATNA, BIHAR

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. RAJU KUMAR SON OF DEONANADAN RAI R/O DYALPUR, RAJPUR,
P.S.- BIHTA, DISTRICT- PATNA

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Anita Kumari, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 14-11-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 328, 494 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The informant alleges that his sister was married to the petitioner and was his second wife, further from first wife petitioner had a son, further also had a grandson, it is next alleged that his sister was issueless, further his sister was demanding her share in the property but petitioner willed his property in favour of his grandson Aman and further accused persons including the petitioner killed his



sister.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is 70 years of age and has been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that informant is brother of the deceased who is eyeing the property of the petitioner, as such, the present false FIR came to be instituted so that the petitioner is coerced into submission for parting with his property in favour of the informant, it is next submitted that the date of occurrence is 11.12.2021 and the FIR came to be instituted on 09.02.2022 i.e., after an inordinate delay. Learned counsel also submits that from bare perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR it would manifest that informant is not an eye witness to the occurrence and the entire allegation hinges around suspicion.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on



anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-
(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount
each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the
case is pending/successor court in connection with Bikram
P.S. Case No. 46 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid
down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

GauravSinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

