

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19331 of 2021

Dr. Arunima Mishra, Wife of Shri Saroj Kumar, Resident of Srinagar Colony,
Road No. 9, North of A.G. Colony Park Police Station - Shastri Nagar,
District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Health Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Bihar Technical Services Commission, 19, Harding Road, Patna through its Chairman.
4. The In - charge Secretary of the Bihar Technical Services Commission, 19, Harding Road, Patna.
5. The National Medical Commission, Pocket - 14, Sector - 8, Dwarka Phase I, New Delhi - 110077.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Navendu Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajeshwar Singh, GA-10

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 05-01-2022

This matter is heard via video conferencing due to
circumstances prevailing on account of COVID-19 Pandemic.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. In the instant petition, the petitioner has prayed for the
following reliefs:

“i. To issue a writ of mandamus directing the
concerned respondents to appoint the petitioner on the
post of Specialist Medical Officer (Gynaecology &
Obstetrics) in the basic grade in the unrevised pay
scale of Rs. 9300 to 34,800, Pay Band-2, Grade – Pay
5400 as advertised by the Bihar Technical Service



Commission in advertisement no. 04/2021 as she is fit and eligible for this post.

ii. To quash/ set aside the final result posted on the official website of the Commission contained in notice bearing number Sam.-03/ Paree. -BSTC 56/2021 1609 dated 11.10.2021 pertaining to selection against the Advertisement no.04/2021 as it is prepared without application of mind.

iii. To any other relief or reliefs for which the petitioners are found to be entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

4. In so far as challenge to the final result, the petitioner has not arrayed the necessary and proper parties, whose rights are likely to be affected in the event of quashing of the final result dated 11.10.2021.

5. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed reserving liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition while arraying necessary and proper parties whose rights are likely to be affected.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	12.01.2022
Transmission Date	NA

