

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19251 of 2021

Mira Devi, wife of Late Mahesh Poddar, resident of Village- Rusulpur Vazid,
Doctor Colony, Uma Nagar, P.O.- Baikhanpura, P.S.- Ahiyapur, District-
Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Bihar State Energy Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.
3. The Deputy Commissioner Land Reform, Muzaffarpur.
4. The Chairman cum Managing Director, Bihar State Electricity Department,
Bihar, Patna.
5. The Executive Engineer, North Bihar Power Distribution Company, District-
Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yugal Kishore, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Subash Prasad Singh, GA-3
Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, Sr. Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 03-08-2022

The petitioner has prayed for the following relief/s :-

1. That this is an application for issuance of writ of Mandamus or an appropriate writ for commanding and directing the respondents to remove electricity pillar from the land bearing khata no.- 47, khesra no.- 215 of the petitioner, which has forcefully established by the respondents in the Aangan of the petitioner and due to which several time the family member of the



petitioner came under electrocution and also direct the respondents to establish the electricity poll in the land of its department, which is adjacent to the land of the petitioner and there is sufficient land of the electricity department to establish electricity poll and such act has done without issuance of the process of land acquisition and even without issuing any notice to the petitioner and such act has done by respondents, while the petitioner as well as her family member was not present in their house and / or for direction of any other writ/ writs, order/ orders, direction/ directions for which the writ petitioner is legally found entitled in the light of facts and circumstances of the case.

After the matter was heard for some time, finding the Court not in favour of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, states that the petitioner shall be content if the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition, with liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings before the appropriate forum and to take recourse to such other alternate remedies which are equally efficacious in law.

Prayer allowed.

It stands clarified that all issues of fact and law are left open to be agitated before the competent authority.

The petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.



Interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also stand
disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Ashwini/Sujit

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	06.08.2022
Transmission Date	NA

