

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63469 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-85 Year-2021 Thana- FORBESGANJ District- Araria

=====

URMILA DEVI W/o Late Laxman Mandal Resident of Village- Khawaspur,
Ward No.01, P.S.- Simraha, District- Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Prasad Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rina Sinha, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

3 17-05-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
the offence under Sections 304(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

The daughter of the informant is said to have been
set fire by the petitioner after sprinkling petrol upon her as a
result of which, she sustained sever burnt injury and died
during course of treatment.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner, who is of clean antecedent, is
innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. In fact,
the petitioner happens to be mother-in-law of the deceased
and she has never demanded any dowry nor she has



assaulted the deceased in any manner. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner and no specific allegation of any overt act is attributed to her. The petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 27.05.2021.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has, vehemently, opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is direct allegation of sprinkling petrol on the victim and setting her on fire due to which she succumbed before the burnt injuries. The victim in her statement which is at paragraph-2 of the case diary, has categorically stated that it is the petitioner, who happens to be mother-in-law of the deceased, has set her on fire with intention to kill her.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of this petitioner is rejected.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

