

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13817 of 2022

=====

Sona Ray Son of Muni Ray resident of Village- Ramdatahi, P.S.- Shahpur,
District- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary Rural Development Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Deputy Development Commissioner-cum-Additional District Programme Co-ordinator, Bhojpur.
3. The District Magistrate-cum-District Programme Co-ordinator, MANREGA, Bhojpur.
4. The District Programme Officer, MANREGA, Bhojpur.
5. The Programme Officer-cum-Block Development Officer, MANREGA, Bihia, Bhojpur.
6. The Programme Officer-cum-Block Development Officer, MANREGA, Shahpur, Bhojpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Brajesh Prasad Gupta, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Anjani Kumar (AAG 4)
		Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the Chief Justice/Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)

Date : 16-09-2022

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):

“For issuance of an appropriate writ
and/or order and/or direction commanding the
respondents to hold impartial enquiry by a team



of high officials or an independent agency as being directed by the Hon'ble Court after hearing the parties with respect to financial irregularities in execution of different Schemes such as tree plantation, construction of animal shades, road in villages etc under MANREGA in Bihia and Shahpur block of Bhojpur district for the period from 2016 to 2021. There is clear cut violation of standard or parameter fixed under MANREGA.”

After the matter was heard for some time, finding the Court not in favour of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, states that the petitioner shall be content if the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition, with liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings before the appropriate forum and to take recourse to such other alternate remedies which are equally efficacious in law.

Prayer allowed.

The petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

Liberty reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such other remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

It stands clarified that all issues of fact and law are



left open to be agitated by the competent authority.

Interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

K.C.Jha/DKS

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	20.09.2022
Transmission Date	

