

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63214 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-85 Year-2017 Thana- C.B.I CASE District- Muzaffarpur

RENUKA DEVI W/o Birendra Chaudhary R/o Village- Ruphari, P.O.-
Kapurpakari, P.S.- Shikarganj, Sub- Division- Sikaraha, District- East
Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar through the Additional Director General, Vigilance
Investigation Bureau, Bihar, 6, Circular Road, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jagnnath Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

3 20-09-2022 The learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to

remove all the defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter within

one month.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the

offences alleged under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120(B)

of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 7 and 13(2) read with

Section 13(1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988

registered in connection with Vigilance P.S.Case No. 85 of

2017.

As per allegation, the petitioner secured second

position in merit-list of *Anganbari Sevika*. The allegation is that

after preparation of merit-list, 12 points were added in her score

after adding her forged handicapped certificate and forged

certificate of graduation.



The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner was rejected in Cr.Misc. No. 32247 of 2020, vide order dated 29.07.2021, but she is renewing her prayer for anticipatory bail on the ground that the CDPO has been granted anticipatory bail. He has submitted further that it was the CDPO, who had annexed the certificates of the petitioner in her form.

There is allegation that the petitioner submitted forged certificates. Her anticipatory bail petition has earlier been rejected by the coordinate Bench of this Court.

In my view, there is no ground for reconsideration of the matter. The prayer for bail on behalf of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

Office shall ensure that all defects are removed by the petitioner within the stipulated time as provided hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

HR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

