

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18698 of 2021

=====

Punam Devi Wife of Niraj Kumar Singh Resident of Village Fatahpur, P.S.

Sheohar, District- Sheohar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Building Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Joint Secretary, Building Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector, Sheohar.
4. The Superintending Engineer, Building Construction Department, Building Circle, Motihari.
5. The Executive Engineer, Building Construction Department, Building Division, Sheohar.
6. The Assistant Engineer, Building Construction Department, Building Division, Sheohar.
7. The Junior Engineer, Building Construction Department, Building Division, Sheohar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Devendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Amit Prakash (GA-13)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 30-06-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

(I) For issuance of writ or writs in the nature of Mandamus directing and commanding the Respondent Authority specially Executive Engineer, Building Division, Sheohar for payment of the due amount of Rs. 3, 91,771/- along with statutory interest for the work done by the Petitioner in compliance of the Agreement No. 60 F2-2019-20 for repair/rejuvenation of garage construction works in the office of District Collectorate as well as for payment of the due amount of Rs. Rs. 3,01,020/- along with statutory interest work done by the Petitioner in compliance of the Agreement No. 62 F2-2019-20 for Repair/rejuvenation of office rooms in Collectorate Building (Ground & first floor), Sheohar with cabin & partitioning works.

(II) For further direction to the Respondent Authorities to make disposal of the representation of the Petitioner by reasoned and speaking order within time framed.

(III) For any other relief/reliefs for which your Lordships may deem fit and proper;

After the matter was heard for some time, finding the Court not in favour of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, states that the petitioner will be content if the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition, with liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings before the appropriate forum and to take recourse to such other alternate

remedies which are equally efficacious in law.

Prayer allowed.

It stands clarified that all issues of fact and law are left open to be agitated before the competent authority.

The petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

Interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/rajiv-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	