

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62700 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-270 Year-2020 Thana- MADHAURAH District- Saran

1. RAMESH KUMAR SINGH @ RAMESH SINGH Son of Late Ravindra Singh Resident of Village- Tejpurwan, P.S.- Marhowra, District- Saran at Chapra
2. RAHUL SINGH @ RAHUL KUMAR Son of Awadhesh Singh Resident of Village- Tejpurwan, P.S.- Marhowra, District- Saran at Chapra
3. POOJA DEVI W/o Rajiv Singh @ Rajeev Kumar Singh Resident of Village- Tejpurwan, P.S.- Marhowra, District- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 18-07-2022 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence under Sections 302, 201 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution case, the deceased was done to death by her in-laws members including these petitioners and the dead-body was disposed of by throwing it in the river. Petitioner no. 1 is husband of the deceased, petitioner no. 2 & 3 are close relatives of petitioner no. 1. The thrust of the accusation is against petitioner no. 1.

It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that after



investigation, the police has submitted chargesheet under Section 306 of the I.P.C. It is further submitted that petitioner no. 1 alongwith petitioner no. 2 are working in Maharashtra and on the alleged date of occurrence, they were not present at the place of occurrence. Petitioners have got clean antecedent.

However, learned A.P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that petitioner no. 1 is husband of the deceased and deceased has died in an unnatural circumstances at her matrimonial house.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the fact that petitioner no. 1 is husband of deceased, his prayer for anticipatory bail is refused.

So far as prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner no. 2 and 3, as named above, is concerned, since they is general and omnibus allegation against them and they live separately, in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, they are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Saran at Chapra in connection with Marhowra P.S. Case No. 270 of 2020, subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.



Accordingly, this application is disposed of.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

