

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16532 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1350 Year-2010 Thana- BHOJPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Bhojpur

-
1. Paranvasho Kunwar @ Puranvasho Devi, W/O Late Ram Ashis Ram Resident Of Village - Vishunpur, P.S.- Barhara, Distt.- Bhojpur.
 2. Chandan Kumar, Son Of Vijay Ram Resident Of Village - Vishunpur, P.S.- Barhara, Distt.- Bhojpur.
 3. Tarun Kumar @ Tarun Kumar Ram, Son Of Vijay Ram Resident Of Village - Vishunpur, P.S.- Barhara, Distt.- Bhojpur.
 4. Shiv Dularo @ Siv Dularo Devi, W/O Vijay Ram Resident Of Village - Vishunpur, P.S.- Barhara, Distt.- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Putul Devi, W/o Vinay Ram Resident of Village - Bishunpur, P.S.- Badhara, Distt.- Bhojpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Renu Kumari

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

6 27-06-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest
in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections
498(A) of the Indian Penal Code.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent. Petitioner no.1
is the mother-in-law, petitioner nos.2 and 3 are brother-in-laws
and petitioner no.4 is sister-in-law (Gotini) of the informant.



The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the notices were issued on the informant, who was added opposite party no.2 by order dated 06.01.2022 by both modes and despite receiving the ordinary notice, the informant did not appear, though a chance was given to her by order dated 09.05.2022.

The learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners are separate in mess and property from the husband of the informant and they have been implicated merely because they are related to the husband of the informant. It is next submitted that the informant even after receiving notices has not appeared that in itself shows that she is not interested in pursuing the case against the present petitioners.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the bail application.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Complaint Case No.1350(c) of



2010, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2)
of the Cr.P.C.

The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

