

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13700 of 2022

=====

M/s Shashi Coal Bricketing Industrial Area Muzaffarpur through its Proprietor Santosh Kumar, aged about 56 Years, (Male) Son of Late Suryadeo Narayan Srivastav, Resident of Mohalla-Alokpur, Bahalkhana Road, P.S.-Mithanpura, District-Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State Industrial Area Development Authority, through its Managing Director, 1st Floor, Udyag Bhawan, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
2. Joint Managing Director, Bihar State Industrial Area Development Authority, 1st Floor, Udyag Bhawan, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
3. The Deputy General Manager, Bihar State Industrial Area Development Authority, 1st Floor, Udyag Bhawan, East Gandhi maidan, Patna.
4. The Assistant Regional Manager, Industrial Area (BIYADA), Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Naresh Chandra Verma, Advocate
For the BIADA : Ms. Binita Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 27-09-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

“ That this writ application is for quashing the order dated 18.07.2022 passed by the Respondent no. 2 and issued by Respondent no. 3 as contained in Annexure-3 by which the allotment of 0.25 Acres of land in Muzaffarpur Industrial Area has been cancelled.”

On 16.09.2022, we had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for BIADA states that as on date no 3rd party right stands created.

Statement accepted and taken on record.

As agreed, petitioner will file an



undertaking to this Court to the effect that (a) within 60 days, petitioner will start commercial production in the Unit, should the respondents hand over possession of the premises to the petitioner/recall the order of cancellation, failing which petitioner shall give vacant and peaceful possession of the premises to BIADA; (b) within six months, petitioner shall make the Unit fully operational and functional in terms of the product sanctioned and allowed to be manufactured as per the original terms of allotment; (c) petitioner shall clear all the dues payable to BIADA as on date; (d) petitioner shall make itself compliant with all the statutory requirements, including the ones protecting interest of the employees; (e) in the event of failure on the part of the petitioner to comply with the undertaking, petitioner shall hand over the vacant and peaceful possession of the premises to BIADA with liberty for further allotment to 3rd party, when petitioner shall lose all rights therein and (f) petitioner shall be liable for initiation of proceedings for contempt for having violated the undertaking furnished to the Court.

Petitioner is ready and willing to furnish such an undertaking within next seven working days, failing which the petition shall stand dismissed for default.

Copy of the undertaking be supplied to learned counsel for the respondents.

However, BIADA has to recall the orders passed cancelling the allotment of the premises.

Let BIADA take a call on the offer made by the petitioner.

We are sure that the respondent BIADA would take a decision in the affirmative, which, in our considered view, would be in public interest as also in the interest of the State to generate economic growth as also provide employment to the people.

List on 27.09.2022 so as to enable learned counsel for the petitioner to file an undertaking on affidavit to the aforesaid effect and till then no coercive steps be taken against the



petitioner.”

Petitioner has filed an undertaking being part of affidavit dated 23.09.2022 in the following terms:

“2. That in view of the order dated 16.09.2022, the petitioner is filing this undertaking that:

a. within 60 days, petitioner will start commercial production in the Unit, should the respondents hand over possession of the premises to the petitioner/recall the order of cancellation, failing which petitioner shall give vacant and peaceful possession of the premises to BIADA.

b. within six months, petitioner shall make the Unit fully operational and functional in terms of the product sanctioned and allowed to be manufactured as per the original terms of allotment.

c. Petitioner shall clear all the dues payable to BIADA as on date.

d. Petitioner shall make itself compliant with all the statutory requirements, including the ones protecting interest of the employees.

e. In the event of failure on the part of the petitioner to comply with the undertaking, petitioner shall hand over the vacant and peaceful possession of the premises to BIADA with liberty for further allotment to 3rd party, when petitioner shall lose all rights therein.

f. Petitioner shall be liable for initiation of proceedings for contempt for having violated the



undertaking furnished to the Court.”

In view of the aforesaid, petitioner’s undertaking is accepted and taken on record.

BIADA has no objection to the order being passed, as is so being passed in similarly situated cases to augment the industrial growth within the State of Bihar.

Petitioner’s undertaking that he would revive the unit within six months and make it fully operational and functional, is accepted and taken on record.

Consequence of breach thereof stands explained through the learned counsel.

This Court would not hesitate to not only initiate proceedings of contempt for violating such an undertaking, but also direct the BIADA to take over the possession of the property for allotment to a third party in accordance with law.

Present petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	29.09.2022
Transmission Date	

