

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8575 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-309 Year-2020 Thana- PIPRA District- East Champaran

1. BILATU MAHTO @ BILTU MAHTO Son of LATE GOPAL MAHTO Resident of Village - Ashok Pakari, Nanhkar Tola, Police Station - Pipara, District - East Champaran.
2. PRABHAWATI DEVI Wife of BILATU MAHTO @ Biltu Mahto Resident of Village - Ashok Pakari, Nanhkar Tola, Police Station - Pipara, District - East Champaran.
3. SANTOSH KUMAR MAHTO @ SANTOSH KUMAR Son of LATE MANGAL MAHTO Resident of Village - Ashok Pakari, Nanhkar Tola, Police Station - Pipara, District - East Champaran.
4. RUPA DEVI @ RUPI DEVI Wife of SANTOSH KUMAR MAHTO @ SANTOSH KUMAR Resident of Village - Ashok Pakari, Nanhkar Tola, Police Station - Pipara, District - East Champaran.
5. SAM BABU MAHTO @ SHYAM BABU MAHTO Son of RAJENDRA MAHTO Resident of Village - Amraman Bazar, P.S. - Manjhauliya, District - West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Madhurendra Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Sunil Kumar No.III
	Mr.Raki Alam
	Mr.Rabindra Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

6 07-03-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with
Pipra P.S. Case No.309 of 2020, registered for the offence
punishable u/s 304(B), 201, 34, 120(B) of IPC.

Allegation against the petitioners is that they have



murdered the daughter of the informant due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and disappeared her to conceal evidence.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case due to oblique reason. It is submitted that the petitioner nos.3, 4 and 5 are not the family members of the deceased and petitioner no.1 and 2 are the father-in-law and the mother-in-law of the deceased. Petitioners had no concern with the life affairs of the deceased and her husband. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail by submitting that there is specific allegation against all the accused persons and the husband of the deceased has also not surrendered yet.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, since the allegations are of serious nature, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners named above on anticipatory bail. The prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

The instant application stands dismissed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

pallavi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

