

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52241 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-110 Year-2022 Thana- RAJNAGAR District- Madhubani

SITARAM YADAV Son of Bogai Yadav R/V- Pilakhwar, P.S- Rajnagar, Dist-
Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ratanakar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 14-11-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 143, 341, 323, 324, 307, 379, 448, 509, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of one case and the same was also filed by the son of the informant and the informant alleges that on account of dispute relating to land, petitioner by *farsa* and Mahavir by *tengari* assaulted the informant causing injury on head and thereafter the other accused persons also assaulted other family members.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and on account of dispute relating to land, the present occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is next submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true, without admitting, then the blow was not repeated.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that the impugned order itself records that the doctors have opined the injury inflicted on the injured to be dangerous to life.

Considering the submissions made by learned A.P.P for the State, the Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. His prayer for anticipatory bail is thus rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishi/Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

