

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52354 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-20 Year-2020 Thana- NARHATT District- Nawada

=====

SANJAY PRASAD @ SANJAY SINGH Son of Lakhan Singh @ Lakhan
Mahto R/V- Gowasha, P.S- narhat, Dist- nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sidhendra Narayan Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 16-11-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence under Sections 8(b)(v) and 20(a)(b) of the NDPS Act.

Earlier considering the quantum of recovery of Ganja,
prayer for bail of this petitioner had been rejected vide order
dated 09.02.2022 by this Court with a direction to the learned
court below to expedite the trial of the petitioner.

The petitioner has renewed his prayer for bail and
while entertaining this application, a report with regard to
present stage of the trial has been called for by this Court vide
order dated 19.10.2022 which has been received and forms part
of this application at Flag-P. On perusal thereof, it would reveal
that charge has been framed in this case on 27.08.2021 and the



summons have been issued for examination of witnesses
20.10.2022 and the case is fixed for evidence.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner referring
to the aforesaid report submits that the trial of this case is not
likely to be concluded in near future. Hence, the petitioner may
be enlarged on bail as he has been languishing in judicial custody
since 30.11.2020 i.e. almost two years.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has, vehemently, opposed
the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submit that a direction
may be issued to the learned trial court to expedite the trial and
try to conclude it soon.

Considering the substantial progress in the trial as
mentioned in the report received from the trial court, this Court
is not inclined to differ with its earlier view to enlarge the
petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of this
petitioner is rejected.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

