

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62300 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-45 Year-2021 Thana- BAHADURPUR District- Darbhanga

Chandan Kumar Yadav @ Chandan Kumar Son of Ganesh Roy @ Ganesh Yadav Residence of Village - Godhaila Bisaul, P.S.- Bishanpur, District - Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anjani Kumar Sinha, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajiv Nayan, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 09-06-2022 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to remove defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within a period of four weeks from today.

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in connection with Bahadur P.S. Case No. 45 of 2021, registered for the offences under Sections 326, 307, 498A) of the Indian Penal Code and Dowry of Prohibition Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation made in FIR is completely false, in fact the victim sustained burn injury accidentally while cooking food and thereafter the informant himself got the victim admitted in a hospital where the informant did not make any allegation as mentioned in the FIR and accepted the victim having sustained



burn injury due to accident and in this case the FIR was lodged after delay of four days regarding which there is no explanation. Further submission is that the petitioner is a student doing the course of diploma at Bhopal and he is 18 years old and in actual he was not present at the place of occurrence.

Learned counsel appearing for informant has opposed the prayer of bail and submitted that bail prayer of three co-accused persons has been rejected by this Court and against the present petitioner there is specific and serious allegation and he sprinkled kerosene oil at the body of victim at the time of commission of occurrence.

Having considered the seriousness of the allegation appearing against the present petitioner, in the opinion of this Court it is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

siddharthkr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

