

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61510 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-585 Year-2020 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR SADAR District-
Muzaffarpur

=====

SISHER KUMAR @ SIKSAR KUMAR @ SIKSAR Son of Late Lalbabu
Rai Resident of Village - Bishunpur Giddha, P.S.- Maniary, District -
Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Parasmani

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dinesh Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

2 18-07-2022 The learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to

remove all the defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter within

one month.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the

offences alleged under Sections 413, 414, 419, 420, 467, 468,

471 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered in connection

with Muzaffarpur Sadar P.S.Case No. 585 of 2020.

The informant is Sub-inspector of Police. He got

an information that co-accused Rajesh Kumar @ Bantha and

Govind Kumar @ Dhatura along with other accused persons



including the petitioner, were indulged in committing theft of motor-cycles and sale thereof after changing the number plates and tampering with the engine numbers. On raid, co-accused Rajesh Kumar @ Bantha and Vishal Kumar were arrested with stolen bike and they disclosed the name of the present petitioner.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he is innocent. His name has figured only in the confessional statement of co-accused and nothing was recovered from his possession.

On the other hand, the learned APP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

In paragraph no.3 of the bail petition, criminal antecedent of the petitioner has been mentioned which shows that Bela P.S.Case No. 36 of 2020 is also pending against the present petitioner which case is of similar nature.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is on bail in the said case.

Considering the above facts and circumstances as well as the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, I do not think it a fit case for anticipatory bail to the petitioner. His prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby rejected.

Office shall ensure that all defects are removed by



the petitioner within the stipulated time as provided hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

HR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

