

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13286 of 2022

M/s Friends Mobile, A Sole Proprietorship Firm, Having its Registered Office at Boring Road Chauraha, G-5, Verma Center, Boring Road, Patna. Bihar-800001 through its authorized Signatory Mohammad Quadir Khan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India, through the Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, having its Office at Room No. 46, North Block, P.O. and P.S. North Block, New Delhi-110001.
2. The Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs, through its Chairman, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, having its Office at North Block, P.O. and P.S. North Block, New Delhi-110001.
3. The Commissioner Central Goods and Services Tax and Central Excise, Patna having its Office at New Secretariat Patna Bihar.
4. Joint Commissioner of State Tax, Patna North Circle, Patna, Bihar.
5. Assistant Commissioner of State Tax, Patna North Circle, Patna, Bihar.
6. Additional Commissioner of State Tax (Appeal), Patna East Division, Patna, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anurag Saurav, Advocate Mr. Abhinav Alok, Advocate Mr. Priyajeet Pandey, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Vivek Prasad, GP 7
For the UOI	:	Mr. Anshuman Singh, Sr. SC, CGST & CX

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 12-10-2022

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

- (i) For issuance of appropriate writ/order/direction for setting aside order bearing Memo no. 234 dated 01.08.2022 passed by Additional Commissioner (Appeal), Patna East Division, Patna in Appeal Case No.



AD100722004108T for the financial year 2019-20 whereby and where under the Appellate Authority upheld the order dated 17.12.2021 passed by Respondent no.5 while rejecting the appeal of the petitioner on the ground of limitation further directed to issue APL-IV.

- (ii) For Issuance of an appropriate writ/ order/ direction for setting aside order bearing reference no. ZD101221010679Y dated 17.12.2021 passed by Assistant Commissioner of State Tax jurisdiction, Patna North Circle, Patna, Bihar whereby and where under respondent rejected the Input Tax Credit Claim filed by the petitioner in Form GSTR3B for the financial year 2019-20 on the ground that the ITC claim taken by the petitioner were not auto populated in GSTR 2A and there is difference of amount in GSTR 3B and GSTR 2A of the petitioner of Rs. 4,77,257/- under CGST and SGST and an amount of Rs. 13,34,280/- was imposed as tax



- interest and penalty on the petitioner under section 73 (9) of BGST Act.
- (iii) For quashing of order dated 17.12.2021 passed by Joint Commissioner of State Tax whereby and where under, the Assessing Officer rejected the claim of the petitioner without considering the tax invoices produced by the petitioner only on the ground that there are certain amounts which were not reflected in GSTR 2A of the petitioner.
- (iv) For setting aside the demand notice issued in the Form of DRC 07 dated 17.12.2021.
- (v) For issuance of an appropriate Writ(s), order(S), and/or direction(s), as Your Lordships may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of this case in the interest of justice.

It is brought to our notice that vide impugned order dated 01.08.2022, passed by Respondent No. 6 namely the Additional Commissioner of State Tax (Appeal), Patna East Division, Patna, Bihar in Appeal Case No.AD100722004108T, Memo No.234, the appeal of the petitioner against the order dated 17.12.2021 passed by Respondent No. 5, namely The Assistant Commissioner of State Tax, Patna North Circle, Patna, Bihar, in Reference No.ZD101221010679Y under Section 73(9) of BGST



Act, 2017 and summary of order dated 17.12.2021 in Form GST DRC-07, has been rejected on the ground of limitation.

Learned counsel for the Revenue, states that he has no objection if the matter is remanded to the Assessing Authority for deciding the case afresh and the limitation shall not be allowed to come in the way. Also, the case shall be decided on merits. Also, during pendency of the case, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner.

Statement accepted and taken on record.

However, having heard learned counsel for the parties as also perused the record made available, we are of the considered view that this Court, notwithstanding the statutory remedy, is not precluded from interfering where, *ex facie*, we form an opinion that the order is bad in law. This we say so, for two reasons- (a) violation of principles of natural justice, i.e. Fair opportunity of hearing. No sufficient time was afforded to the petitioner to represent his case; (b) order passed *ex parte* in nature, does not assign any sufficient reasons even decipherable from the record, as to how the officer could determine the amount due and payable by the assessee. The order, *ex parte* in nature, passed in violation of the principles of natural justice, entails civil consequences; (c) We also find the authorities not to have



adjudicated the matter on the attending facts and circumstances.

All issues of fact and law ought to have been dealt with, even if the proceedings were ex parte in nature.

As such, on this short ground alone, we dispose of the present writ petition in the following mutually agreeable terms:

(a) We quash and set aside the impugned order dated 01.08.2022, passed by Respondent No. 6 namely the Additional Commissioner of State Tax (Appeal), Patna East Division, Patna, Bihar in Appeal Case No.AD100722004108T, Memo No.234, the order dated 17.12.2021 passed by Respondent No. 5, namely The Assistant Commissioner of State Tax, Patna North Circle, Patna, Bihar, in Reference No.ZD101221010679Y under Section 73(9) of BGST Act, 2017 and summary of order dated 17.12.2021 in Form GST DRC-07;

(b) We accept the statement of the petitioner that ten per cent of the total amount, being condition prerequisite for hearing of the appeal, already stands deposited. If that were so, well and good. However, if the amount is not deposited for whatever reason(s), same shall be done before the next date;

(c) Further the petitioner undertakes to additionally deposit ten per cent of the amount of the demand raised



before the Assessing Officer. This shall be done within four weeks.

(d) This deposit shall be without prejudice to the respective rights and contention of the parties and subject to the order passed by the Assessing Officer. However, if it is ultimately found that the petitioner's deposit is in excess, the same shall be refunded within two months from the date of passing of the order;

(e) We also direct for de-freezing/de-attaching of the bank account(s) of the writ-petitioner, if attached in reference to the proceedings, subject matter of present petition. This shall be done immediately.

(f) Petitioner undertakes to appear before the Assessing Authority on 02.11.2022 at 10:30 A.M., if possible through digital mode;

(g) The Assessing Authority shall decide the case on merits after complying with the principles of natural justice;

(h) Opportunity of hearing shall be afforded to the parties to place on record all essential documents and materials, if so required and desired;

(i) During pendency of the case, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner.



(j) The Assessing Authority shall pass a fresh order only after affording adequate opportunity to all concerned, including the writ petitioner;

(k) Petitioner through learned counsel undertakes to fully cooperate in such proceedings and not take unnecessary adjournment;

(l) The Assessing Authority shall decide the case on merits expeditiously, preferably within a period of two months from the date of appearance of the petitioner;

(m) The Assessing Authority shall pass a speaking order, assigning reasons, copy whereof shall be supplied to the parties;

(n) Liberty reserved to the petitioner to challenge the order, if required and desired;

(o) Equally, liberty reserved to the parties to take recourse to such other remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law;

(p) We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law, with a reasonable dispatch;

(q) We have not expressed any opinion on merits



and all issues are left open;

The instant petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Interlocutory Application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

Learned counsel for the respondents undertakes to communicate the order to the appropriate authority through electronic mode.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

K.C.Jha/-DKS

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	16.10.2022
Transmission Date	

