

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60618 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2020 Thana- NASRIGANJ District- Rohtas

ASHALOK KUMAR S/o RUDAL YADAV R/o VILLAGE-CHAMPAPUR,
P.S-BAKHTIYARPUR, DISTRICT-PATNA.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jay Ram Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-03-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within a period
of four weeks after complete start of the physical Court.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Nasriganj P.S. Case No. 16 of 2020 instituted for the offences
under Sections 392 and 394 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is in custody since 20.03.2021, charge-sheet has been
submitted and has antecedent of 7 cases. It is submitted that the
petitioner is on bail in five cases.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that
the informant alleges that he along with his wife and the driver
were going to his village Bhojpur on a Swift Dzire Car, they
were intercepted by 3-4 unknown criminal who was driving the



Scorpio. Further, the accused forcefully made the informant, his wife and driver sit in the Scorpio and after some distance pushed them out of the car and fled away with a bag containing jewellery worth Rs.1.50 lakh along with three mobile and Rs.5,000/- from the pocket of the driver of the informant and fled away along with the Swift Dzire.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR was against unknown. The name of this petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of Azad Kumar and Vikash Kumar and the petitioner was also made to confess his participation in the crime when he was in custody. It is further submitted that neither the petitioner has been put on T.I. Parade nor any incriminating article was recovered from his possession merely because the petitioner has antecedent, as such, he has been implicated in the present case.

Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody, charge-sheet has been submitted and taking into consideration the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-five Thousand) with



two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram in connection with Nasriganj P.S. Case No. 16 of 2020, subject to the condition that one of the bailors shall be the father (Rudal Yadav) of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

