

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60751 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2009 Thana- MUSAHARI District- Muzaffarpur

1. Uagan Bhagat @ Ugan Bhagat Son of Late Phaujadar Bhagat @ Faujdar Bhagat Resident of Village - Dwarika Nagar, P.S.- Mushahari, District - Muzaffarpur and At present Village - Binda, P.S.- Mushahari, District - Muzaffarpur
2. Shambhu Bhagat @ Sambhu Bhagat Son of Uagan Bhagat @ Ugan Bhagat Resident of Village - Dwarika Nagar, P.S.- Mushahari, District - Muzaffarpur and At present Village - Binda, P.S.- Mushahari, District - Muzaffarpur
3. Sudhir Bhagat @ Sudhir Kumar Bhagat Son of Late Kameshwar Bhagat Resident of Village - Dwarika Nagar, P.S.- Mushahari, District - Muzaffarpur and At present Village - Binda, P.S.- Mushahari, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

2 11-05-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304(B) and 307 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is a case of dowry death of the daughter of the informant at the hands of her in-laws due to non-fulfilment of dowry demand.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the name of the petitioners arrayed in the



FIR in initial stage and petitioners were not sent up for trial and, thereafter, during trial under Section 319 of the Cr.P.C. the petitioners were summoned for trial. He further submits that petitioner no.1, is father-in-law, petitioner no.2 is brother-in-law and petitioner no.3 is the nephew of the husband of the deceased and they have no concern with the mess and business of the deceased and her husband. Petitioners have got clean antecedent.

Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Considering the aforesaid submissions, let the petitioners, above named in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sessions Judge-16th, Muzaffarpur in connection with Sessions Trial No. 148 of 2016 arising out of Mushahari P.S. Case No. 34 of 2009, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

Brajesh Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

