

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.320 of 2017

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-28 Year-2015 Thana- VIGILANCE District- Patna

Rameshwar Singh son of Late Kedar Nath Singh resident of village Dhokrahan, P.S. Majhauria, District - West Champaran, presently residing at Mohalla Indrapuri House No. 46, Road No. 10, North Patel Nagar, P.S. - Shastri Nagar, Distt - Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Department of Home, Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Director General, Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Superintendent of Police-cum-S.H.O., Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Vigilance Police Station, 6 Circular Road, Patna.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Anil Prasad Singh, Advocate Mr. Virendra Kuar, Advocate Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Advocate
For the Vigilance	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anil Kumar, AC to SC-8

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

7 23-11-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Arvind Kumar, learned counsel for the Vigilance Investigation Bureau and Mr. Anil Kumar, learned AC to SC-8 for the State.

This writ application has been filed for quashing of the First Information Report vide Vigilance P.S. Case No. 28 of 2015 dated 08.04.2015 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 477(A) and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code and 7/13(2) read with Section 13(1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act against the petitioner and others.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner as



well as learned counsel for the Vigilance Investigation Bureau inform this Court that in this case the investigation is complete and upon completion of investigation no sufficient material could be found against the petitioner, hence a final form has been submitted in the learned court below.

Mr. Arvind Kumar, learned counsel submits that since the investigating agency has already submitted a final form, the prayer of the petitioner to quash the First Information Report has in fact become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees with the same.

This writ application is, thus, dismissed as having become infructuous.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

