

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.125 of 2017**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-6 Year-2016 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

Amrendra Kumar Son of Late Harinarayan Chaudhary, Resident of Ward No. 11, Thumba, P.S.- Pipra, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Principal Secretary, Department Of Home , Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director General -cum-Inspector General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Inspector General of Police Darbhanga Zone, Darbhanga.
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Koshi Range, Saharsa.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Supaul.
6. The Station House Officer P.S. Supaul, District- Supaul.
7. Shri Shivjee Pandey, Sub Inspector of Police-cum-Investigating Officer, P.S.- Supaul, District- Sup

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Rasika, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Iqbal Asif Niazi, AC to GP 5

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER**

3 02-09-2022 This writ application has been filed seeking proper investigation of the case being Supaul P.S. Case No. 6 of 2016 dated 03.01.2016 registered for the offences under Sections 436, 326, 307, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and later on Section 302 IPC was also added.

Neither learned counsel for the petitioner nor learned counsel for the State is able to say as to what is the present stage of the case.

Paragraph '17' of the counter affidavit though states that investigation is being carried out in a full-proof manner and the case



has been supervised by the S.D.P.O., Supaul and the S.P. has issued Report 02, it is not known as whether the directions issued by the S.P. to the I.O. has been carried out and the investigation is complete.

In the facts and circumstances, this Court directs the Superintendent of Police, Supaul to look into this matter, review the case and in case it is found that the investigation is still pending, he will ensure that the investigation be completed within a period of four months from the date of communication of this order and appropriate report in accordance with law be submitted in the learned court below. If it is found that the I.O. has not been working on this case, he may change him immediately.

In case the investigation has already been completed and a report has been submitted in the learned court below, it will be open for the petitioner to seek his remedy, if so advised, in accordance with law.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

SUSHMA2/-

U			
---	--	--	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

