

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17877 of 2021

=====

Kanhaiy Kumar S/o Ram Swaroop Choudhary Resident of Village- Gariram-
pur, Navagarhi, P.S. Naya Ram Nagar, District- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through Home Secretary, Govt. of India.
2. Special D.G. Central Zone, CRPF, Kolkata.
3. Inspector G, Dehradun Sector, CRPF Dehradun (Utara Khand).
4. D.I.G., CRPF, Central Group, Kathgadam (UK).
5. D.I.G., Range Rampur (U.P.) CRPF.
6. Commandant 45 Batalian, CRPF, Sambal (J and K).
7. Sri Niranjan Saini, Asst. Commandant, CRPF Investigating Officer.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dr. Krishna Nandan Singh (ASG)
Mrs. Punam Kumar Singh, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 17-02-2022

This matter is heard *via* video conferencing due to cir-
cumstances prevailing on account of the COVID-19 Pandemic.

Mrs. Punam Kumari Singh, learned counsel accepts no-
tice for respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is hereby directed to
furnish a copy of the petition to learned counsel for the Union of
India if it not already furnished.

In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for the fol-
lowing relief(s):-

*“For issuance of appropri-
ate writ/writs, order/ orders,*



Direction / Directions to quash the order dated 19. 3. 18 Annexure-7) passed by Commandant 45 Batalian, CRPF Sambal JE) and order of D.I.G. Range Rampur U.P) dated 3.8. 2018 (Annexure-10) by which appeal of the petitioner has been rejected and order of Inspector General, Dehradoona Sector, CRPF dated 20. 2. 2020 Annexure 11) by which revision of the petitioner has been rejected and order of Special Director General, Central zone, CRPF Kolkata dated 7. 7. 21 (Annexure-13) by which memorial of the petitioner has been Dismissed and for grant of all Consequential benefits.”

Perusal of the pleadings it is evident that this Court has no territorial jurisdiction so as to entertain the petition and so also orders passed by the concerned respondents would not come under the territorial jurisdiction of this Court.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed reserving liberty to the petitioner to invoke remedy before appropriate territorial jurisdictional Court.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

Vikash/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	N/A

