

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5754 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-294 Year-2020 Thana- TEKARI District- Gaya

1. Kulmanti Devi @ Manorma Devi W/O Suresh Yadav @ Suresh Prasad Resident Of Village-Matai, P.S-Tekari (PANCHANPUR O.P.), District-Gaya. Presently Residing At Tharmal Market, P.S-Bhatinda, District-Bhatinda (PUNJAB)
2. Suresh Yadav @ Suresh Prasad S/O Late Kail Yadav Resident Of Village-Matai, P.S-Tekari (PANCHANPUR O.P.), District-Gaya. Presently Residing At Tharmal Market, P.S-Bhatinda, District-Bhatinda (PUNJAB)
3. Lal Babu Kumar S/O Suresh Yadav @ Suresh Prasad Resident Of Village-Matai, P.S-Tekari (PANCHANPUR O.P.), District-Gaya.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mrigendra Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

5 14-02-2022 Heard both the parties through video conferencing.

The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in connection with Takari (Panchanpur O.P.) P.S. Case No.294 of 2020 instituted for the offences under Sections 304(B) and 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner no.1 is the mother-in-law, the petitioner no.2 is the father-in-law and the petitioner no.3 is the brother-in-law of the deceased. They are living separately. The case of the petitioner is that the deceased was taken for treatment, where she



collapsed. It is not a case falling under section 304(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

Having considered the contents and taking into consideration that the petitioners have not got no documentary proof to show that the deceased was treated in the hospital, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The same is accordingly dismissed.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

