

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60012 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-82 Year-2021 Thana- MANPUR District- Nalanda

1. Anjeet Ram @ Ajeet Ram Son of Late Lal Bihari Ram Resident of Village- Jurabanpur, Karari, P.S.- Jurabanpur, District- Vaishali.
2. Ranjeet Ram Son of Late Lal Bihari Ram Resident of Village- Jurabanpur, Karari, P.S.- Jurabanpur, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Devendra Kumar Sinha, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Pramod Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 22-06-2022 Heard learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within a period of four weeks from today.

The petitioners seek bail in connection with Manpur P.S. Case No. 82 of 2021 registered for the offence under Sections 364(A) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The accused/petitioners are named in the F.I.R. and are in custody since 16.06.2021.

The allegation against the petitioner is to kidnap the son of the informant for ransom etc.

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioners submitted that the version of F.I.R. and the statement recorded Section 164 of Cr.P.C. of the victim creates a serious doubt as regard to the place from where the alleged occurrence of kidnapping took place. It has further been submitted that the petitioner and victim were known to each other and on the inducement of the victim, the petitioner deposited Rs.3,19,000/- (Rupees Three Lakh Nineteen Thousand) in the account of one Karan Verma and Rakesh Ranjan in connection with a car claimed to won in a lottery, developed dispute between them. It has also been submitted that it is highly improbable that to take away the victim after kidnapping to own house, in fact, the presence of the victim was due to previous acquaintance. While concluding the argument, it has also been submitted that chargesheet has already been submitted in this case, as such there is no chance of tampering with the evidence and moreover, petitioner is a man of clean antecedent.

Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances as mentioned above, as there is contradictions between the fardbeyan and the statement recorded under Section 164 of Cr.P.C. of the victim coupled with the fact that chargesheet has already been



submitted in this case, let the petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on bail in connection with Manpur P.S. Case No. 82 of 2021 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nalanda at Bihar Sharif, subject to the following conditions:

“(i) Accused/Petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date before the Trial Court till conclusion of the trial and exemption from physical appearance be allowed by the Trial Court only on medical ground duly supported by the documents.

(ii) That one of the bailors shall be Mithlesh Ravidas, who is the uncle of the petitioner.”

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

Ankit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

