

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59156 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-57 Year-2020 Thana- NOWKOTHI GARHPURA District-
Begusarai

-
1. DEEPAK KUMAR @ DEEPAK SINGH Son of Janardan Singh Resident of Village- Babhangama, P.S.- Nawkothe, District- Begusarai.
 2. Rupak Kumar Son of Janardan Singh Resident of Village- Babhangama, P.S.- Nawkothe, District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sada Nand Roy, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rabindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 13-07-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 447, 342, 323, 325, 307, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that when he arrived at the gate of his old home, all the accused persons, who were waiting from before, attacked the informant and his father and it is alleged that petitioner no.1 assaulted the father of the informant by an iron rod causing injury on his neck and the petitioner no.2 also assaulted the



father of the informant by an iron rod on his head causing injury

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though there is specific allegation of assault against the petitioners but then the present F.I.R. is a counterblast to Nawakothi P.S. Case No. 54 of 2020, the informant and petitioners are related and the occurrence took place from both sides in which from the side of the petitioners also injuries were suffered.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners and submits that in the F.I.R. there is specific allegation of assault by the petitioners on the vital part of the body of informant's father.

Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P. for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Their prayer for anticipatory bail is thus rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

