

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58829 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-185 Year-2021 Thana- MIRGANJ District- Gopalganj

Ranjeet Singh, Son of Late Anil Singh, Resident of Village - Pakadi, P.S. -
Mirganj, District - Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey No.5, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pradeep Narain Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 28-06-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

Let the defect (s), as pointed out by the office, be removed within a period of four weeks from the date of resumption of physical filing and physical removal of defect.

In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mirganj P.S. Case No. 185 of 2021 registered for the alleged offences under Sections 304 B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegedly, the petitioner caused dowry death of his wife, the daughter of the informant.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he himself informed the brother of the deceased as well as the police about his wife committing



suicide. The informant and her family members reached the spot after the police has already reached there and started investigation. If the petitioner was guilty, he would have fled away from the spot, but as he was innocent, he remained there and informed all concerned about the death of his wife. Learned counsel further submits that though the mother of the petitioner has been made an accused in the FIR, during investigation, it was found that she was not even present in the house when the occurrence took place and this shows falsity of the FIR. Learned counsel further submits that the deceased was suffering from gynecological problem and she committed suicide and the petitioner has got no role in it. Petitioner is in custody since 05.06.2021.

Learned APP has opposed the contention made on behalf of the petitioner submitting that the petitioner was present in the house when the daughter of the informant died. The post mortem report clearly shows asphyxia due to strangulation which clearly shows that the daughter of the informant was strangled to death.

Having regard to the submissions made hereinabove and considering the fact that the post mortem report shows that the death was caused by asphyxia due to



strangulation and admittedly the petitioner was present in his house when the occurrence took place, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Hence, the prayer for bail is rejected.

However, the trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same preferably within a period of one year.

If the trial is not concluded within a period of one year, then the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

V.K.Pandey/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

