

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12226 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-180 Year-2020 Thana- CHHATAPUR District- Supaul

-
1. Anmol Jha, aged about 53 years, male, S/o Late Bachan Jha.
 2. Dinesh Jha, aged about 51 years, male, S/o Late Ganga Dhar Jha.
 3. Dilkhush Jha, aged about 21 years, male, S/o Suresh Jha.
 4. Ashish Jha @ Ashish Kumar Jha, aged about 38 years, male, S/o Jugeshwar Jha.
 5. Lal Jha, aged about 61 years, male, S/o Baijnath Jha.
 6. Guddu Jha @ Munna Kumar Jha, aged about 26 years, male, S/o Suresh Jha.
- All are R/o village- Majhaul Gualapara, P.S.- Chhatapur, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Satya Prakash Parasar, Adv.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Vijay Kumar Sinha, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Satyendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

4 04-03-2022 Heard Mr. Satya Prakash Parasar, the learned Advocate for the petitioners and Mr. Vijay Kumar Sinha, the learned counsel for the informant.

The State is represented by Mr. Satyendra Kumar, the learned APP.



The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in connection with Chatapur (Rajeshwari O.P.) P.S. Case No. 180 of 2020, dated 25.07.2020, instituted for the offences under Sections 302 and 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The father of the informant was found dead in a ditch. The informant suspected the hands of the petitioners because of a controversial marriage between a boy belonging to the family of the informant and a girl of the village of the petitioners. It was in this connection that the petitioners are alleged to have threatened the informant of dire consequences in the past.

Only on the aforesaid basis, it has been suspected that the petitioners may have participated in the occurrence of killing the deceased.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that beyond such wild suspicion, there is no other material to connect them with the offence. The so-called threatening given by the petitioners has also not been confirmed during the course of investigation.



Merely on that account, it has been argued, the petitioners cannot be refused anticipatory bail. It has also been submitted that no concrete material with regard to the complicity of the petitioners could be collected during the course of investigation.

Considering the submissions afore-noted and the background facts, the *provisional bail* granted to the petitioners *vide* order dated 17.12.2021 is, hereby, confirmed. They shall remain on the same bail-bonds.

The application stands allowed accordingly.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Praveen-II/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

