

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58180 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-87 Year-2021 Thana- DANAPUR District- Patna

RANJAN PRADEEP KUMAR Son of Sheo Prasad Ram Resident of Village -
Waina, P.S. - Nawanagar, District - Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Santosh Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tarun Prasad Mandal

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 26-04-2022 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

Let the defect(s) be removed within two weeks of the
complete start of the physical Court in normal course.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Danapur P.S. Case No. 87 of 2021, for the offence punishable
under Sections 326, 307, 120(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code
and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The prosecution case, in brief, is that while the
informant was walking at about 5.30 A.M. two unknown
miscreants came and shot him in his left portion of the back and
fled away. The informant has raised suspicion against one co-
accused who is brother in law of accused Sunil Kumar son of
the informant who had demanded partition.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner



submits that the informant has inimical term with his son and when no amicable settlement with respect to the partition of family on the basis of false allegation has been made accused in the present case. He further submits that petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. no specific allegation has been made against the petitioner. The son of the informant against whom there is direct allegation has already been released on bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 28.10.2021 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 42512 of 2021.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

Considering the aforementioned facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25000/- (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Danapur in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 87 of 2021, subject to the following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the Court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be



properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(4) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence, after his release on bail, the trial Court shall take steps to cancel his bail bonds.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

