

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58828 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-54 Year-2019 Thana- SHERGHATI District- Gaya

=====

DHANUK @ DHIRU YADAV @ DHEERAJ YADAV Son of Bangali Yadav
Resident of Village - Bankat Paharpur, P.S.- Amas, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bhaskar Shankar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sharda Kumari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 28-04-2022 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within two
weeks of the complete start of the physical Court in normal
course.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
N.D.P.S. Case No. 11 of 2019 arising out of Sherghati (Dobhi)
P.S. Case No. 54 of 2019 for the offence punishable under
Section 414/34 of the Indian Penal Code, Sections 18, 19, 20
and 21 of the N.D.P.S. Act and Sections 30(a)(d) of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act.

The allegation is of recovery of 50 litres of mahua
wine and 2 Kg of ganja from a car in which co-accused Amit
Kumar, Ajay Pawan, Shailesh Kumar and Dharmendra Kumar



Das were sitting. Allegation against the petitioner is that aforesaid accused persons are men of the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner. He has no concern either with the manufacturing of liquor or its trade in any manner. Recovered wine and narcotics belong to other accused persons. Petitioner in custody since 02.04.2019.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. He submits that the trade of illicit liquor is rampant in the State of Bihar. In spite of complete prohibition in the State, due to consumption of illicit liquor, people at large are dying everyday and they are suffering from various physical disorder, as such it would not be in public interest to release the petitioner on bail.

Considering the aforementioned facts and circumstances of the case and period of custody undergone by the petitioner, without going into the merits of the case, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees fifty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the



learned Special Judge, Excise Act, Gaya in connection with N.D.P.S. Case No. 11 of 2019 arising out of Sherghati (Dobhi) P.S. Case No. 54 of 2019, subject to the following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the Court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(4) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence, after his release on bail, the trial Court shall take steps to cancel his bail bonds.

(5) The court below is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases as what has been stated in Para-3 of the bail petition, this order will automatically loose its force.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

