

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61088 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-50 Year-2021 Thana- PUNAURA District- Sitamarhi

=====

UMESH MAHTO Son of Late Nathuni Mahto R/o Village- Madanpur, Ward
No.-04, P.S.- Parsauni, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dinesh Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jai Narain Thakur, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER**

3 09-05-2022 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has preferred this application for grant
of regular bail in a case registered under sections 364A of the
Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution case, the son of the informant
was kidnapped and demand of Rs. 10 lacs was made by way of
ransom.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that the FIR was registered against accused persons using the
mobile numbers from which the demand of ransom was made.
It is submitted that the petitioner was not named in the FIR. His
name transpired in the confessional statement of co-accused.
No incriminating article has been recovered from the
petitioner's possession who is in custody since 24.3.2021.



Chargesheet has been submitted in the case.

The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and on perusal of the material on record it transpires that in course of investigation the accused persons were arrested and the confessional statement of the five named accused persons including the petitioner herein was recorded which led to recovery of the dead body of the son of the informant. In the facts of the case, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

Learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Spd/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

