

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3195 of 2021

=====

Milan Kumari @ Milan Devi W/o Surendra Prasad Singh Resident of Village-Badhua, Khajurbadi, Ward NO.09, Harira, P.S.-Kursakanta, District-Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Purnia Division, Purnia.
4. The District Magistrate, Araria.
5. The District Programme Officer, Araria.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Kursakanta, Araria.
7. Savita Kumari W/o Shivraj Kumar Singh Resident of Village-Badhua Khajurbadi, Ward No. 09, Harira, P.S.-Kursakanta, District-Araria.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mrs. Tanuja Mishra, Advocate Mr. Bidhanesh Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Mandal, SC 3 Mr. Bipin Kumar, Advocate, AC to SC 3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 30-03-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. State counsel accepts notice for respondents Nos. 1 to 6.

3. Service of notice to 7th respondent stands dispensed since no adverse order is being passed in the present petition.

4. In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for the following relief/reliefs:



“(i) For directing the respondent authorities to enquire into the wrong selection of Respondent No. 7, who has been selected as Anganwari Sevika of Gram Panchayat, Harira, Ward No. 9, under Block-Kursa Kanta, District- Araria and also to hold the said selection of Respondent No. 7 to be bad, illegal and contrary to the guidelines laid down for such appointments.

(ii) For directing consequently the respondent authorities to appoint the petitioner in place of Respondent No. 7 as Anganwari Sevika in said Panchayat.

(iii) For any other relief or reliefs for which the petitioner may be found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

5. Petitioner’s appeal/representation (Annexure-6) is pending consideration before the appellate authority.

6. Therefore, the appellate authority is hereby directed to decide the petitioner’s appeal/representation within a period of four months from the date of receipt of this order after due hearing of petitioner and 7th Respondent – Savita Kumari.

7. Accordingly, the instant petition stands disposed off.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

GAURAV S./-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	31.03.2022
Transmission Date	

